

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,211	02/24/2004	Takashi Fujii	46379	8414	
1609	7590 05/15/2006	EXAMINER			
ROYLANCE 1300 19TH ST	E, ABRAMS, BERDO FREET. N.W.	SELLS, JA	SELLS, JAMES D		
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON,, DC 20036		1734		

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/784,211	FUJII ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James Sells	1734		

	James Sells	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	•			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	e of the final rejection.	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two ments				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		i E below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	110 133403 101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	11 - 6					
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a I).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. 🗌 Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- SU(					
JAMES SELLS						
DDIMADY EVAMINED						

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner believes the applied references of Nakamoto (USP 6,097,138) in view of Chang (USP 6,436,221) teach or make obvious all of applicant's claimed limitations.